## 'Re-editing' Gandhi's Collected Works

The exercise to 're-edit' the Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi is non-transparent and flawed, and displays an inefficiency and callousness that makes the revised publication incapable of being a standard reference. The new edition should be scrapped, and the original collected works reinstated as the only and most authentic version of the writings and utterances of Gandhi.

## TRIDIP SUHRUD

andhiji had a unique capability of Tattracting men and women of great talent and commitment towards him and his cause. Maganlal Gandhi, Henry Polak, Herman Kallenbach, Imam Saheb Abdul Kadar Bavazir, Mahadev Desai, Pyarelal, Swami Anand, Vinoba, Kakasaheb Kalelkar, Kishorlal Mashruwala, Narhari Parikh, Miraben and many others like them were men and women of exceptional virtues. They were thinkers and servants of the people. Gandhiji was fortunate during his lifetime, and even after his assassination there were individuals and institutions ready to commit themselves to the preservation and propagation of his legacy.

It was a similar impulse that guided the project to compile all of Gandhiji's writings and make them available to readers. The project, which was conceptualised in February 1956, came to be known as the Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi (CWMG). The government of India decided to create an advisory board and vested all control and direction of the project in the board. Morarji Desai was the chairman of the board. At various points several individuals worked as members of the board. These included Kakasaheb Kalelkar, Devdas Gandhi, Pvarelal. Maganbhai Desai, Ramachandran, Shriman Narian, Jivanji P Desai, P M Lad, R R Diwakar, Ramdhari Sinha 'Dinkar' and Shantilal Shah. From 1956 to 1959, Bhartan Kumarappa and Jairamdas Doulatram worked as the chief editor of the project. In February 1960, K Swaminathan – a man who was equally comfortable with the European literary and philosophical tradition, Sanskrit poetics and Tamil literature as well as the ashram of Ramana Maharshi - was appointed chief editor. He continued to work on the CWMG project till his eyesight

began to fail him in his early nineties. A project that was conceived in 1956 was closed in 1994 with the publication of the 100th volume. Men such as U R Rao, R K Prabhu and C N Patel assisted Swaminathan.

The task before the CWMG editorial team was not easy. Gandhiji's writings were spread over three countries - South Africa, India and England. They were in government files, in offices of newspapers, with thousands of individuals who corresponded with him and in the diaries of his companions like Mahadev Desai. Gandhiji wrote mainly in three languages, English, Gujarati and Hindi. The CWMG team decided to bring out these volumes at least in these three languages. The work on the Gujarati version, called Gandhiji No Akshardeh, was entrusted to the Navajivan Trust, and the publications division of the ministry of information and broad casting, was responsible for the English and Hindi versions. Each document had to be verified and authenticated. Gandhiji's associates, H S L Polak and Chhaganlal Gandhi were called in to verify and authenticate South African papers. The government, like other institutions, participated in the process of acquiring new material. The government, for example, acquired a substantial part of the Gandhiji-Herman Kallenbach correspondence at an auction in South Africa in the early 1990s. They tell a story of an abiding friendship.

The editors and the advisory board decided on three guiding principles: (a) the aim of the series would be to reproduce Gandhiji's actual words, (b) reports of his speeches, interviews and conversation in indirect speech would be included when they were proved to be authentic beyond doubt, and (c) later research was likely to lead to the discovery of more material – the Gandhi-Kallenbach correspondence –

and all such new material would be published as supplementary volumes. Of the 100 volumes, 1-90 reproduced Gandhiji's writings, speeches, letters, interviews, and notes in chronological order; volumes 91-97 were the so-called supplementary volumes which dealt with material that had become available later, while volumes 98-100 contained index of subjects. index of persons and a volume containing prefaces to the set. The CWMG has long since come to be recognised as one of the finest examples of editorial and translation work undertaken anywhere in the world. At least two generations of Gandhi scholars have expressed their deep indebtedness to these self-effacing men. Except for a fine biographical sketch by Ramachandra Guha it is very difficult to find any recent assessment of K Swaminathan, of C N Patel, there is nothing of consequence to be found in English. But the CWMG endured.

Until recently, that is. In 1998, the publications dvision decided to 're-edit' the CWMG. The exercise was aimed at bringing uniformity, strict chronology and authenticity. Uniformity meant that all volumes ought to be of the same size of 500 pages each! Chronology required that all the material of the supplementary volumes be incorporated at its appropriate chronological order. The publisher's note in the revised edition of 2001 has this to say about authenticity: "The objective of the series is to reproduce Gandhiji's actual words as far as possible; reports of his speeches, interviews, conversations which did not seem to be authentic have been avoided, as also reports of his statements in indirect form" (emphasis added). The exercise thus involved a process of re-authentication and therefore subsequent deletion of material 'which did not seem authentic'. It also involved a process of realigning the material from supplementary volumes. The exercise, which began in 1998, resulted in the publication of a revised edition of 100 volumes of CWMG, in English and Hindi. A CD-ROM version was also published.

The entire exercise is deeply flawed. We are not informed who the chief editor of this set is, the editorial team and advisory board remains a mystery. What, if any, were the measures adopted to ascertain the authenticity of material that has been omitted? We are not even given a listing of the material that has been thus found inauthentic and deleted.

A group of individuals and independent scholars in Gujarat have been examining the revised print edition and the CD-ROM. Our exercise is yet ongoing, but the findings are deeply disturbing. There are about 500 entries missing from the CD-ROM version, but they must not all be inauthentic. Of these, about 215 entries have been subsequently added to the revised print edition. There are about 300 entries missing from the print edition. Thus, we now have three versions of the CWMG: the original, the revised edition of 2001 in print, and the CD-ROM version. The individual prefaces of the original set became irrelevant in the process of restructuring the data. The revised edition as well as the CD have omitted all the prefaces and even the independent volume of prefaces has been excluded in the revised edition. In the original set, each volume contained a list of sources and acknowledgements specific to that particular volume. The new editors failed to even identify the sources for each volume. Instead, they have taken the list of sources of the original set, combined them and printed as one consolidated list that occupies 42 pages in each volume. Thus, 4200 pages of data that is largely meaningless to a reader have been added. The indices have been similarly mauled. The original had 4,000 main entries and 9,000 subentries for the volumes of indices. In the revised edition, a significantly large number of sub-entries are missing thus making 'action' a verb and robbing it of all its philosophical significance. Vinoba Bhave and Bhave, Vinoba are different individuals for the editors. Many key entries have been reduced in size.

The work is incapable of becoming a standard reference. Let us take the supplementary volumes. One of the main aims of the exercise was to bring chronological uniformity to the set. It therefore required subsequently acquired material to be incorporated as per date and year. The table gives a summary of missing entries from volumes 91-97, the supplementary volumes of the original CWMG.

The table demonstrates that even the exercise of rescheduling has been done with an ineptitude, inefficiency and callousness that is shocking. Are we to assume that these letters – many of which are housed in the national archives, the Nehru Memorial Museum and Library and the collection of the CWMG itself – are now found to be inauthentic? We do not know if the entries have been re-edited in

**Table: List of Missing Items** 

ladie: List of Missing Items									
Number	Vol No (old)	Vol No (revised)	Sr No As Per Old Vols	s Date	Description				
1	97	10	2	1909	Letter to Chhaganlal Gandhi				
2	97	26	addenda 3	March 17, 1922	Letter to Mazharul Haq				
3	97	26	45	March 18, 1922	Letter to Prabhudas Gandhi				
4	97	26	46	April 2, 1923	Letter to Kashi Gandhi				
5	97	26	47	April 8, 1923	Letter to Narandas Gandhi				
6	97	56	209	August, 28, 1932	Letter to Nirmala Gandhi				
7	97	80	330	April 22, 1941	Letter to Prabhudas Gandhi				
8	97	80	331	May 23, 1941	Letter to Prabhudas Gandhi				
9	91	21	addenda 14	November 18, 1920	Letter to Narhari D Parikh				
10	91	21	addenda 15	November 18, 1920	Letter to Anasuyaben Sarabhai				
11	91	22	addenda 16	February 26, 1921	Letter to Anasuyaben Sarabhai				
12	91	27	addenda 21	April 8, 1924	Letter to Manilal Doctor				
13	91	30	addenda 23	February 21, 1925	Letter to Rameshwardas Birla				
14	91	32	addenda 25	August 14, 1925	Letter to G D Birla				
15	91	36	addenda 29	After October 10, 1926	A Talk				
16	91	37	addenda 30	1926	Letter to Haribhau Upadhyaya				
17	91	38	addenda 31	January 24, 1927	Letter to Mridula Sarabhai				
18	91	42	addenda 38	Before August 1, 1928	A Letter				
19	91	6	50	On or after February 25, 1907	Letter to Chhaganlal Gandhi				
20	91	6	51	February 10, 1907	Letter to Chhaganlal Gandhi				
21	91	6	52	February 20, 1907	Letter to PS to Governor, Transvaal				
22	91	6	53	March 1, 1907	Letter to Chhaganlal Gandhi				
23	91	19	230	March 23, 1920	Telegram to Mathurdas Trikumji				
24	91	23	269	Before June 30, 1921	Letter to Kunvarji Anandaji Kapadia				
25	91	26	306	March 6, 1922	Letter to S A Brelvi				
26	91	26	308	After March 21, 1922	Letter to Baba Lakshmandas				
27	91 91	26 27	309	Before August 24, 1923	A Note				
28		27 27	310 311	February 28, 1924	Message to Romain Rolland				
29 30	91 91	27 27	312	March 4, 1924	Letter to C Vijayaraghavachariar				
30 31	91	27	313	March 12, 1924 On or after March 13, 1924	Telegram to Jawaharlal Nehru Letter to Ramdas Gandhi				
32	91	27	314	March 17, 1924	Letter to Ramdas Gandhi				
33	91	27	315	March 24, 1924	Letter to Mahadev Desai				
34	91	27	316	March 25, 1924	Letter to Manadev Desail				
35	91	38	554	January 23, 1927	Letter to Ramkrishna Chandiwala				
36	91	38	555	January 24, 1927	Letter to Anasuyaben Sarabhai				
37	91	38	556	January 31, 1927	Letter to Anasuyaben Sarabhai				
38	91	38	557	February 3, 1927	Letter to Atriasay aberr Garabrian Letter to Motiram Shaukiram Adwani				
39	91	38	558	February 6, 1927	Letter to Motilal Roy				
40	91	38	559	February 7, 1927	Message to International Congress against imperialism				
41	91	40	614	After November 25, 1927	Letter to Anasuyaben Sarabhai				
42	93	74	332	October 10, 1938	Letter to Mathurdas Trikumji				
43	93	74	333	October 13, 1938	Letter to Pyarelal				
44	93	74	374	January 21, 1939	Letter to Mathurdas Trikumji				
45	95	26	43	March 5, 1922	Letter to the Editor, <i>The Survey</i> , New York				
46	95	26	44	December 1922	A Letter (Presumably to Madan Mohan Malaviya)				
47	95	27	45	March 31, 1924	A Silence Day Note				
48	95	27	46	Before April 3, 1924	Letter to Anasuyaben Sarabhai				
49	95	32	53	August 21, 1925	Letter to C Ramalinga Reddy				
50	95	32	54	On or before August 31, 1925	Letter to Haribhau Upadhyaya				
51	95	32	55	After September 23, 1925	3				
52	95	35	63	May 12, 1926	Letter to Amy Jacques Garvey				
53	95	38	70		Letter to Vasumati Pandit				
54	95	38	71	January 10, 1927	Letter to Vasumati Pandit				
55	95	38	72	After February 2, 1927	Letter to Vasumati Pandit				
56	95	38	73	After February 2, 1927	Letter to Vasumati Pandit				
57	95	43	90	December 18, 1928	Letter to Benarsidas Chaturvedi				
58	95	45	94	March 23, 1929	Letter to Prabhavati				
59	95 05	80	154	May 14, 1941	Letter to Venkataswami Naidu				
60	95	84	163	August 27, 1944	Telegram to M A Jinnah				
61	96	4	1	July 3, 1905	Letter to Millie Graham Polak				
62	96	9	2	September 19, 1908	Letter to Herman Kallenbach				
63	96	9	3	February 9, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach				
64	96	9	4	April 5, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach				
65 66	96	9	5	June 19, 1909	Draft Will and Testament				
66 67	96	9	6	June 21, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach				
67 69	96 06	9	7	June 22, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach				
68 69	96 96	9 9	8 9	June 23, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach A Letter				
00	90	<i>9</i>	<b>9</b>	July 3, 1909	/ Letter				

(Contd)

**Table: List of Missing Items** (Contd)

Number	Vol No (old)	Vol No (revised)	Sr No As Per Old Vols	Date	Description
70	96	9	10	July 3, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
71	96	9	11	July 7, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
72	96	9	12	July 17, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
73	96	9	13	July 21, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
74	96	9	14	July 30, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
75	96	10	15	August 7, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
76	96	10	16	August 12, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
77	96	10	17	August 20, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
78	96	10	18	August 28, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
79	96	10	19	August 30, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
80	96	10	20	September 10, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
81	96	10	21	September 17, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
82	96	10	22	September 24, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
83	97	10	23	October 1, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
84	97	10	24	October 27, 1909	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
85	97	10	25	November 14, 1909	Letter to Millie Graham Polak
86	97	10	26	November 15, 1909	Letter to H S L Polak
87	97	22	286	January 22, 1921	Letter to H S L Polak
88	97	23	287	April 10, 1921	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
89	97	24	290	September 29, 1921	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
90	97	72	311	December 28, 1937	Telegram to Herman Kallenbach
91	97	74	319	January 20, 1939	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
92	97	75	320	February 5, 1939	Letter to H S L Polak
93	97	75	321	March 2, 1939	Telegram to Amrit Kaur
94	97	75	322	March 8, 1939	Letter to Herman Kallenbach
95	97	75	323	March/April 1939	Notes to Herman Kallenbach
96	97	75	324	March/ April 1939	Note to Herman Kallenbach
97	97	81	327	August 30, 1941	Letter to H S L Polak

the sense of providing a new 'improved' translation, improving Gandhiji's writings. One chance evidence shows that such an exercise might have been attempted. Following are two excerpts of a letter to G D Birla, which was written in Hindi, a comparison of the two shows that linguistic changes might have also been attempted.

Bhaishri Ghanshyamdasji,

God has given me mentors. I consider you as one of them. Many of my children, many sisters and elderly people such as you and Jamnalalji want to see in me perfection. Knowing this how could I be distressed by your letter. I want you always thus to caution me.

You have three complaints: (i) that I absolve the Swaraj Party of the charge of corruption; (ii) that I gave a certificate to Suhrawardy; and (iii) that I have been trying to make Sarojini Devi the president. In the first place, it is a man's duty to hold fast to truth as he sees it after due striving, even if it should appear a mistake to the world. He cannot become fearless otherwise. I desire nothing so much as moksha. But I would shun even moksha if it went against truth and non-violence (*CWMG*, Vol 91, pp 525-26).

## Dear Shri Ghanshyamdas,

God has provided me with consciencekeepers. You, I think, are one of them. Some of my own children, some ladies and a few grown-ups like Jamnalalji and yourself want to make me a perfect man. Regarding you thus, how could I be offended by your letter? In fact, I want you always to caution me in this manner.

You complain against three things: One, my absolving the Swaraj Party of the charge of corruption; two, my giving a testimonial to Suhrawardy; and, three, my trying to get Sarojini Devi elected as [Congress] president.

In the first place, it is one's duty to say only that which, after a painstaking inquiry, one has come to regard as the truth, even if the world considers it to be an error. In no other way can one become fearless. I cannot consider anything dearer to me than moksha. Yet even that moksha I would renounce if it were to conflict with truth and non-violence (*CWMG*, *E book*, Vol 29, p 29).

If this is any indication, it proves that the exercise has been not just of re-arranging and deleting but also of re-editing the textual matter.

Such callousness to a work of archival nature cannot be tolerated by any individual committed to academic and intellectual integrity. It is our demand that the revised edition, including the CD-ROM, be recalled and scrapped, the original CWMG be reinstated as the only and the most authentic version of the writings and utterances of Gandhiji. The government must take statutory steps to ensure that no attempt to re-edit or recast the CWMG is made even in future.